

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004

19

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/015,749	12/17/2001	Kazuhiro Sonoda	35.C16029	2844
5514 7	590 03/11/2004		EXAM	INER
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			VILLECCO), JOHN M
	EFELLER PLAZA RK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
- · · - · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			2612	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Lawrence No.	Amiliantia			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/015,749	SONODA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John M. Villecco	2612			
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' will, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>02 January 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.				
•	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
closed in accordance with the practi	ce under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-27</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-27</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
	$\frac{r}{2001}$ is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \square ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan the correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in Aport the priority documents have been nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	 □	(DTO 442)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P 	TO-948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8</u> .		formal Patent Application (PTO-152) _·			

Application/Control Number: 10/015,749 Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION II

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant has cancelled previously pending claims 1-23 and has added claims 24-27, thus necessitating a new search and additional consideration.
- 2. Please see below for the rejection pertaining to the newly added claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. <u>Claims 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over</u>

 <u>Muramatsu (Japanese Publ. No. 2000-152085 A) in view of Sato (U.S. Patent No. 6,337,713)</u>

 <u>and further in view of Meyers (U.S. Patent No. 6,137,535).</u>
- 5. Regarding *claim 24*, Muramatsu discloses an image pickup apparatus which is integrated on a substrate. More specifically, the apparatus includes a pixel area comprised of pixel blocks 101, 102, 103, and 104 wherein each of the pixel blocks is inherently comprised of a plurality of pixels. The plurality of pixel blocks makes up the pixel area. The pixel area is integrated on a substrate (24). Each pixel block has scanning circuitry for reading out the signals from the pixels, including address decoders (111, 112, 113, and 114), low-scan shift registers (121,122,123,124), column-scan shift registers (131,132,133,134), and low drivers

,

Application/Control Number: 10/015,749

Art Unit: 2612

(141,142,143,144). Furthermore the pixel area includes A/D converters (181, 182, 183, 184) and noise control circuits (151, 152, 153, 154) which act as the plurality of processing circuits disposed on opposite sides of the pixel area. The A/D converters and noise control circuits perform predetermined processing on the signal output from the pixel. See Figure 6.

Muramatsu, however, fails to explicitly state the use of amplifiers for amplifying the signal output from the imaging device. Sato, on the other hand, discloses a plurality of pixel areas (A, B, C, D) each of which outputs a signal to an amplifer (128A, 128B, 128C, and 128D) in common with the pixel area. The use of an amplifier allows for a stronger image signal output from the imager which makes it easier to correct the signal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to amplify the signals from the pixel area so that it is easier to perform signal processing later on.

Furthermore, neither Muramatsu nor Sato specifically disclose a lens integrated into one unit. While Sato does disclose using a lens to focus the incoming light onto the CCD, Sato fails to discloses that the lens and image pickup element are integrated into one unit. Meyers, on the other hand, discloses a compact digital camera with segmented fields of view. More specifically, Meyers teaches an image sensor formed by subgroups of photodetectors (22). Each subgroup of photodetectors (22) has a lens (12) associated with it. See Figures 1a and 1b and column 4, lines 27-62. By forming lenses over the subgroups of photodetectors the impinging light is directed and focused onto the imaging element. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a lens for each of the pixel areas of Muramatsu so that light is directed and focused onto the face of the pixel areas.

Application/Control Number: 10/015,749

Art Unit: 2612

- 6. Regarding *claim 25*, Sato discloses digital signal processing circuit (110) for processing the image signal and a memory (120) for storing an image signal from the processing circuit (110).
- 7. As for *claim 26*, Muramatsu discloses A/D converters (181, 182, 183, 184), noise control circuits (151, 152, 153, 154), and clock control circuits (161, 162, 163, 164) which act as the plurality of processing circuits disposed on opposite sides of the pixel area.
- 8. With regard to *claim 27*, Sato discloses digital signal processing circuit (110) for processing the image signal and a memory (120) for storing an image signal from the processing circuit (110).
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/015,749

Art Unit: 2612

Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-6306, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE"; for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Villecco whose telephone number is (703) 305-1460. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber, can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the customer service desk whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

John M. Villecco

3/2/04

WENDY R. GARBER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SUPERVISORY CENTER 2600